SCHEDULES AND ANNEXURES OF FORM 704 INTRICATE ISSUES ARISING OUT OF SEMINAR ON MVAT WIRC OF ICAI ON 17-12-2016

BY

CA MAYUR R.PAREKH F.C.A;DISA(ICAI) MAYUR PAREKH AND ASSOCIATES

F.C.A./DISA(ICAI) MAYUR PARE ASSOCIATES

Provisions relating to Audit (S.61)

Criteria for Audit Due Date Consequences of Default

AUCI

Difference between I.T. Audit & VAT Audit

T.O. of Sale or Gross Receipt > 1 Crore for Income Tax Audit

T.O. of Purchase or Sales > 1 Crore for

F.C.A./DISA(ICAI) MAYUR PAREKH (ASSOCIATES

Every dealer 'liable to pay tax' to get accounts audited if:

>T.O. of sales or of purchases exceeds Rs. 1 Crore

If he holds an Entitlement Certificate in respect of any Package Scheme of incentives, granted under this Act or, as the case may be, under the Bombay Sales Tax Act, 1959 (Bom. LI of 1959) (W.E.F 01-05-2010) Irrespective of Turn Over limit.

Sales and Purchases: Includes

Sales / Purchases Of

Local
Inter-State
In the course of exports
In the course of imports

 Works Contracts, Processing Work, Job Work involving use of materials
 Lease Transactions

Sales and Purchases: Includes

- Purchases / sales of Capital Assets
- Disposal of scrap, waste, by-products Sales
- Purchase of licences, Quota, DEPB
- Royalty for Technical Know-how, Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights, Franchisee Agreement
- Recoveries from employees for meals
- Sales of Confiscated goods by Banks, Financial Institution
- Disposals of salvage by Insurance Company
- Sales / Disposals by 'Deemed Dealers'
- Administrative Purchases

Turnover: Sale Price [S. 2(25)]

 Valuable consideration paid or payable
 Sum for anything done at the time of or before delivery excludes cost of Transit Insurance and Installation when separately charged

Explanation I – Includes Customs & Excise duty Explanation II – Excludes Tax Explanation III – Includes Deposits



Customs and Excise paid by buyer or third party not included

Deposits not included

Deduction allowable for freight (Not allowed in S.C.case of India Meters Ltd 34 VST 273)

Works Contracts –

Deductions as prescribed by SC in Gannon Dunkerley & Co. [88 STC 204]

>No provision for ad hoc deduction

Hire Purchase – Includes Interest [under MVAT R-57(4)-Interest to be excluded]
CA MAYUR R.PAREKH F.C.A./DISA(ICAI)

Forms of Returns

FORMS	APPLICABLE TO WHOM
231	All VAT dealers other than dealers executing works contract, dealers engaged in leasing business, composition dealers (including dealers opting for composition only for part of the activity of the business), PSI dealers and notified Oil Companies.
232	All composition dealers whose entire turnover is under composition (excluding works contractors opting for composition and dealers opting for composition only for part of the activity of the business).
233	VAT dealers who are also in the business of executing works contracts, leasing and dealers opting for composition only for part of the activity of the business.
234	PSI dealers holding Entitlement Certificate. (Transactions by PSI dealers relating to the business of execution of works contracts, leasing, trading and composition only for part of the activity of the business to be included in a separate return in Form 223)
235	Notified Oil Companies. (Transactions by OIL Companies relating to the business of execution of works contracts, leasing and composition only for part of the activity of the business to be included in a separate return in Form 223).

Schedules

Applicable Return	Schedule to be Filled
231	Schedule–I
232	Schedule–II
233	Schedule-III
234	Schedule–IV
235	Schedule–V
III–E (CST)	Schedule–VI

Only Applicable Schedules and Annexures are to be Filled In.

□ If Any of the Schedules or Annexures are not Applicable than Please select 'No' Option.

Different Combination of Schedules as applicable depending upon the types of Returns filed

Schedule I To Schedule V

□ Figure As per Returns and As Per Audit and Difference between these two if any are to be mentioned

Amount to be filled up in respective Schedules as applicable based on Correct Returns applicable even though not filed in correct applicable Returns

It is advisable to file revised Returns for Difference if any between Return and Audit Figure

Schedule VI

Details pertaining to Interstate Transaction like OMS Sales , OMS Branch Transfer, Sales in the Course of Export , Sales in the Course of Import, E-1/E-II Transactions etc.

ANNEXURE-A

Details of amount of tax paid as per returns/ chalan under MVAT Act and interest paid u/s 30(2) are to be filled. The details of refund adjustment order issued and amount adjusted against the tax payable for the period under audit are also to be filled in this annexure

□ In case of late payment of tax auto calculation of interest would be done when 'Annexure A' gets validated.

ANNEXURE-B

Details of amount of tax paid as per return/chalan and interst paid u/s 9(2A) CST Act are to be filled. The details of refund adjustment order issued and amount adjusted against the tax payable for the period under audit are also to be filled in this annexure

□In case of late payment of tax auto calculation of interest would be done when 'Annexure B' gets validated.

ANNEXURE-C

□ Details of TDS certificates received by the dealer are to filled

Credit of Tax Deducted but Certificate not Received should be taken in Part-1

ANNEXURE-D

Details of TDS certificates issued by the dealer are to filled

□ Need to check Form 424 WCT TDS Returns to fill above details

<u>ANNEXURE-E</u>

Details of the purchases on which the set-off his claimed by the dealer are to be filled. The details regarding set-off on capital assets, non admissibility of set-off under rule 54, reduction of set-off under rule 53 etc are to be filled in this annexure.

ANNEXURE-F

Various financial ratios for the period under audit and other information are to be filled in. If a dealer has multi state activities , than the ratios related to gross and net profit may be given for entire business entity and other ratios should be given for within the state activities

ANNEXURE-G

Details about declaration or certificate received under the CST Act, 1956 is to be filled

ANNEXURE-H

Details about wanting declarations in form H , in respect of local sales is to be filled. TIN of the customer should be filled in applicable cases

ANNEXURE-I

Details about wanting declaration under the CST Act ,1956 is to be filled. TIN of the customer should be filled in all the cases

ANNEXURE -- J-1/J-2/J-5/J-6

Dealer- wise information of sales and purchases during under Audit is to be filled. Annexure J-1 is for filling in the information of customer wise sales. Annexure J-2 is for filling in the information of supplier wise purchases. The values in Annexure J-1 and Annexure-2 must be given after giving effect of Debit & credit notes. □ Annexure J-5 is for filling the information of customer wise transactions of direct Exports and High Seas Sales and Sales in the course of Import Covered under Section 5(2) of the CST Act, 1956. Annexure J-6 is for filling in the information of supplier wise transactions in the case of OMS Purchase/ Direct Import/ High Seas Purchase/ Purchase U/S 6(2)/ Local Purchase against Form-H/ Branch Transfer under the CST Act, 1956.

ANNEXURE-K

Determination of Gross Turnover of sales and purchases along with reconciliation with Profit and Loss account, trial balance/ sales and Purchase register.

□ In all the Schedules and Annexure it is mandatory to fill applicable rows completely i.e. if one cell in a row is filled then it is Mandatory to fill all the cells in that row

□ Wherever the words "Please Specify" appear it is necessary to specify the description.

□ If Wrong TIN is entered in the form, then it remains RED & BOLD. If Space is given after V, then also, TIN is seen as RED and won't get validated

Complete Audit Report [Expln. II to Section 61(2)]-"complete audit report" means if all the items, certifications, tables, schedules and annexures are filled appropriately and are arithmetically selfconsistent

Control Con

□ Such report shall be submitted on or before 15th January from the end of the year to which it relates (Rule 66)

Submission by the dealer (Sec.61(2))

Trade Cir. No.16T of 2011-dated-11-11-2011
 -Not to submit Hard copy of Audit Report
 (I.Tax/Company) / Balance Sheet Set/Trial
 Balance Of Maharashtra

Penalty @ 1/10 % of Sales -Section 61(2) for non-filing or late filing of VAT Audit Report

Simple imprisonment of 6 months with fine ,on conviction ; Sec. 74 (3) (m)

ISSUES UNDER MVAT AUDIT

Q.1: During the F.Y.2014-15, Dealer is dealing in the items taxable @ 12.50% and having Sales Turnover of Rs. 1.11 Crores inclusive of Vat and Purchase Turnover of Rs.98 Lacs whether Liable for VAT Audit ?

Q. 2 : The Dealer having Sales Turnover of Rs. 93,59,700/- and Purchase Turnover of Rs.88,60,100/-.The Dealer has also received Stock Transfer from Branches outside the

State of Rs.15,00,000/- and send to Branch outside the State of Rs.3,00,000/-.Whether Dealer is liable for VAT Audit ?

Q.3 : One dealer had opted for composition scheme for retailer for financial year 2015-16. For financial year 2016-17, he has collected VAT from April'16 to June'16 under Normal system, but he forgot to intimate to the Sales Tax Department before 31-3-16 for option to change of method. His consultant came to know this when he gathered the data for filing Quarterly Return from April'16 to June'16. What should he do?

Q. 4 : An Unregistered Dealer M of Mumbai had Imported goods worth Rs.1.10 Cr. and having no Sales, whether liable for VAT Audit U/S 61 of the MVAT Act , 2002 ?

Will it make any difference if Dealer M is a Registered Dealer and had Imported goods worth Rs.1.10 Cr. and also Effected Local Sales of Rs. 95 lacs . Whether liable for Vat Audit ?

Q.5: The Firm's T. O. of Sales for the F.Y.2015-16 was Rs. 1,06,00,000 consists of VAT collected Rs.2 Lacs, CST collected Rs.1 lac as well as labour charges of Rs.3.50 lacs. There is also a transfer of asset i.e. Car worth Rs.4 lacs to partner as his capital withdrawal and shown under the head **Removal / Sales of asset in the annexure of Fixed** Assets under Balance sheet. Similarly Firm's T.O. of Purchases was Rs.98 Lacs .Whether Dealer is

liable for VAT Audit ?

Q.6 : A dealer in Mumbai SEEPZ sends the goods to duty free shop at International Airport at Mumbai, Chennai & Delhi. After a month, the shop send the unsold goods back to the dealer & the dealer raises the invoice on shop for the goods sold from shops. However, no VAT/CST is charged. The duty free shop maintain the details regarding passengers & their flight numbers to whom the goods are sold. Whether VAT/CST is to be charged for the sales to such shop?

If yes, whether shop can issue "H" Form as sale is made only to the passengers who will board the flights & treated as export by the shop?

Q. 7 : A specific order was placed by a Customer with the Branch. Accordingly, the goods were transferred from Head Office situated in other State to it's Branch. Whether claim of branch transfer be allowed or not.

> If not, Whether the issuance of "C" Form by the branch instead of Form "F" will be sufficient for such a 'sales'?

Q.8 : In a case where the goods have been transferred on consignment basis to other State say in the month of April'15 worth Rs.1,00,000/-. Out of this goods worth Rs.40,000/- have been returned in the same month. Whether Form 'F' is required for gross or net amount of transfer? Or whether Form 'F' is required for both the transfers?

Q. 9: H.O. has transferred certain goods to it's Branch for distributing the materials as free samples against Form "F". Whether penalty can be levied ?

Q. 10 : Whether an Unregistered dealer can produce form "F" in support of his claim regarding Branch transfer /Consignment transfer ?

Q. 11 : If one single Form "F" covers the transactions of more than one calendar month than whether such claim against Form "F" can be allowed ?

Q-12: While conducting VAT Audit you come across that there was OMS Sales sold against Form "C" at 2% and in support of that you found Sales Invoice and Form "C" received but no **Dispatch proof i.e. Lorry Receipts/ Rail Receipts** were Found. As a VAT Auditor whether you should allow said sales as OMS Sales or to be treated as Local Sales and liability to be discharged at local VAT Rate?

Q. 13 : MRP LTD a company situated in Maharashtra State has transferred the goods on consignment basis to a party in Gujarat State say consignee 'B'. The consignee turns out to be a fraud and runs away with the sales proceeds from the place after selling the goods. No Form 'F' is received and liability is cast by the vat authorities of the Gujarat State on MRP LTD for tax on sale locally.

Whether Maharashtra State can levy tax on the goods transferred to consignee 'B'?

Whether Gujarat Government can recover the Tax from MRP LTD against sales made by 'B'?

Q. 14 : The Dealer has sold an item "X" worth Rs.25 Lacs on 1-6-14. However, the goods were not found as per the specification of the Buyer. The Vendor has agreed to take back the goods partially worth Rs. 10 lacs after Six months and offer certain discount on the goods retained by the buyer. For goods returned and reduction in price credit note is being issued by the vendor showing value of goods returned and additional discount offered. Can the Vendor claimed the claim of goods returned even though returned after Six months ?

Q.15: Registered dealer in Maharashtra is engaged in business of diamonds. During the course of business dealer purchases rough diamonds from local market and send the same outside Maharashtra either to his own office or to external contractors for manufacturing i.e. Rough Diamonds are processed/converted into Polished Diamonds. Upon manufacturing of polished diamonds, it is sent back to Mumbai office. In the entire manufacturing process diamonds remains as diamonds. In fact value of polished diamonds received upon manufacturing is more than the rough diamonds sent. At the same time, it may be noted that if rough diamonds of say 100 carats is sent for manufacturing, approx. 35 carats of polished diamonds is received. Balance quantity is lost in the manufacturing process.

-VAT Department is contemplating reduction of VAT set off under MVAT Rule 53(3) since rough diamonds is not received back in Maharashtra.

-Whether under rule 53(3) or any other MVAT Act/Rules, vat paid locally at the time of purchase can be reduced based on the facts given above ?

Q.16: Importer having registered office at Delhi, import goods in Mumbai Port say worth Rs. 25 lacs. Foreign supplier's import invoice shows address of Delhi. After clearing the goods at Mumbai Port, Delhi dealer transfer all these goods to his agent and branches in different States in smaller lots or bulk to bulk. Now, whether registration is required in the State of Maharashtra either under MVAT Act or CST Act ? and in which State `F' form is required to be produced, Maharashtra or Delhi?

Will the answer differ if Importer has transferred goods worth Rs.23 lacs to his agent and branches in different States and sold goods locally worth Rs.3 lacs (Cost Rs. 2 lacs) and there are no chance that the declarations to the tune of Rs. 10 lacs will be received ?

Q-17: M/S Nayan traders which is a proprietory concern doing retail business of paper having turnover less than Rs.50 lacs in both years i.e.2013/14 and 2014/15. He has opted for Composition Scheme in the year 2015-16. What will be the repurcation under various types of contraventions such as Either,

•Dealer who has purchased from unregistered dealer in the month of June'15.

or

•Dealer who has purchased Capital Assets from OMS such as computer or air conditioner for his office on 01-10-2015.

Now the question is from which date the benefit of Composition Scheme be with drawn?

Q.18 : Set-off is already claimed on purchase of raw material in the return for the month of December, 2015. Subsequently, the raw material is destroyed .Thereafter , in the month of February, 2016, insurance claim is admitted .Whether the amount of insurance claim received is taxable? Whether set-off already claimed needs to be reversed and to be paid to the Government?

Q. 19: A dealer who was dealing in plastic containers discontinued the line of business and started the business of selling born baby items. The auditor is in two minds in respect of set-off claimed on the stock of plastic container in which the client is no more dealing?

Q.20: In clause 2(a) of Rule 53 retention is made applicable on the Purchase Price of corresponding goods used in the manufacture of tax free goods. Whether "Machine Oil" which is used to keep the machine in condition which is used for manufacturing goods can be said to be corresponding goods purchased and hence retention would apply ? Q.21 : Honest Ltd has purchased goods worth Rs.10 lacs + MVAT 5% from M/s Fortune Ltd. in March'15. In the month of Jan'16 M/s Honest Ltd. received Debit Notes from M/s Fortune Ltd. for March'15 due to difference in tax rate. The tax rate charged by Fortune Ltd. in March'15 was wrongly charged @ 5% instead of 12.5% and debit note was raised for the difference in tax liability.

(a) In which year M/s Honest Ltd. would be eligible to claim the set off i.e. in March'15 by revising the said return or in January'16 since the accounting is done in January'16 ?

<u>Q. 22</u>: Whether VAT Auditor should take cognizance of List of Hawala Dealers /Short Filers/Non-Filers while Conducting VAT Audit ?

Q.23: A main contractor has accepted contract of Rs.10 lacs. Out of which he has subcontracted contract of Rs. 4 lacs . Main contractor has opted to pay composition sum on whole contract value. Whether sub contractor can claim set off on materials purchased and used in the execution of works contract? If yes, whether the assessing officer can apply retention on set-off if the main contractor has paid by way of composition tax?

Q. 24: Total contract value is Rs.1 crore. Out of that Main Contractor has given contract of Rs. 60 lacs to **Registered Sub-Contractor. if main contractor decided** to discharged tax liability on whole contract value and issue certificates in form 406 & 409 to the sub contractor. Whether Main Contractor is entitled to claim set off on purchases made by Sub-Contractor or only Sub-Contractor should claim Set-Off on Purchases made by him?

Will the answer differ if the Sub-Contractor is Un-registered ?

Q.25: In case of Works Contract whether Main Contractor Instead of claiming Subcontractor's value as deduction from total contract value, can he treat the same as purchases and claim Input Credit under the provisions of MVAT Laws ?

Q. 26 : Dealer M of Mumbai effects OMS Purchase from G of Gujarat. Instructs G of Gujarat to dispatch goods directly to V of Vapi in Gujarat on his behalf by way of endorsing L/R. [Section 6(2)- EI/EII Transaction]

Whether the sale shall qualify for Sale in Transit for M of Mumbai ?

Whether claim under section 6(2) is allowable in spite of the fact that the Original Seller and the first purchaser are in the same State and ultimate buyer is in another State ?

Q. 27 : A dealer Registered under CST on 01-08-2014. He had purchased Machinery on 25th July , 2014. can he issue Form " C " ?

Q. 28 : During the Audit you found Certain Form "C" having 10 Annexures containing details of Invoices and Amounts. But you found that only on Last page of Annexures Buyer has put his Seal and Stamp. As a VAT Auditor what will be your call to allow such Forms "C"?

Q. 29 : Whether exemption U/S 6(2) is available to Hire Purchase Transactions ?

Q. 30: A Dealer (Trader) has made Purchase of Rs.3.50 **Crs. supported with Tax Invoices. During F. Y. 2015-16. Out of this dealer has sold the goods costing Rs.75 lacs** at Rs.1 Cr. The balance goods costing Rs. 2.75 Crs. are in Closing Stock. Such Closing Stock dealer has sold in next Financial Year say in the month of October'16. During F.Y. 2015-16 dealer is also having Share **Trading Turnover of Rs. 35 Crs. as well as share profit** of Rs. 5 crores which has been shown in Trading and **Profit & Loss Account. Whether dealer is entitled to** claim set off on the Whole purchases of Rs. 3.50 Crs.?

Q.31 : A Tyre manufacturer sold the car against replacement warranty for one year. Car users comes to Manufacturer for replacement of tyres within the warranty period as well as after the warranty period. It is the company's policy to replace the tyre after warranty period in case of manufacturing defects. While replacing old tyres with new one under above cases while making the bill of new tyre the company deducts value of old tyre from Sales price of new tyre under the head "Claim Loss" and VAT is charged on such net amount, Whether practice is correct?

Q.32: A company is doing software development. For developing any software they have to purchase some of the modules in the form of software out rightly being a part of the main software and then after they sale the whole software. Whether set off be available for purchase of software (Modules)?

Q. 33: Under painting contract when paints applied to building which was already being constructed, Whether set off will be available or will it hit by Rule 54-G?

Q. 34 : A Firm is a trader in Furnitures has opted for Retailer Composition scheme and discharging the liability of VAT @ 1.50%. During the year they have sold the car. At what rate they should discharge the liability of vat on sale of car. Whether @1.50% or @12.5 %?

Q-35 : The contractor has opted to discharge works contract tax (VAT) liability under composition scheme. He has charged composition sum (VAT) and service tax on basic selling price separately in his Tax Invoice. Whether it is correct or composition sum (VAT) is payable on the basic selling price plus service tax? **Q.36**: The principal has awarded contract worth **Rs.1crore to main contractor and in turn the same** contract has been awarded by the main contractor to sub-contractor for Rs. 90 lakhs. Sub-Contractor has discharged tax liability on Rs. 90 lakhs under say either under Normal method or Table method. Whether main contractor is liable to discharge liability on Rs.10 lakhs if he opts to discharge liability under Normal Method or Table Method ?

Will the answer differ if Main Contractor and Sub-Contractor both have discharged tax liability under Composition Scheme ?

Q.37: "A" is in the business of development of premises (residential and commercial). He is registered under MVAT – though currently A is filing 6 monthly VAT returns and complies with Tax deduction provisions under section 31 of the MVAT Act.

A new commercial project has been proposed to be developed in Suburban Mumbai. In this regard, the assessee has entered into a composite contract with a registered dealer where under, the contractor shall be responsible to demolish an existing structure, clear all the *raabit*, dispose the same in accordance with the relevant regulations and handover clear ground for further action by the builder. Further as per the terms all the material namely raabit, steel and iron rods, wood, other materials which is extracted or found in the course of the said demolition process shall belong to the contractor and the builder shall not claim any of such material. The contract value shall be Rs. 71 lakhs for the whole premises which shall be subjected to all tax deduction under Income Tax, VAT or any other taxes and duties whichever may be applicable.

Whether the contract is a Works Contract liable for VAT ?
 What is the liability of VAT of the Builder in case of raabit , steel and iron rods, wood, other materials which becomes the property of contractor in the course of the said demolition process ?
 Whether the provisions of TDS, MAYUR PARENT ARE APPlicable?

Q.38: A developers enters into an agreement under SRA scheme whereby the existing occupant are given 400 sq ft each free and on the balance available land, a tower is constructed and also an additional TDR is bought to increase the number of floors of the new building

•Whether tax is payable on the transfer of property to the existing occupant?

•If no, whether the cost of construction pertaining to existing occupant can be added to the cost of construction of new tower and whether for the payments of tax under Works Contract the said cost will be allowed?

Q. 39 : Consider a Situation that a contract of Rs.10 Crores is subcontracted for a sum of Rs. 9 crores. The subcontractor undertakes to make the payment of taxes. The contractee deducts TDS of main contractor. Whether the subcontractor shall be entitled to take credit of the TDS deducted of the Main Contractor by the Contractee ? [Section 31(4) & 31(9)]

Q. 40 : Suppose Contractee has not deducted WCT TDS from the payment made to Contractor but Contractor has discharged his VAT liabilities on the said transaction than whether still Sales Tax Department can recover the said WCT TDS amount from Contractee ?

Q. 41 : Where there is specific mention in the contract to install OTIS Elevator which are to be purchased from specified manufacturer abroad and accordingly order for all the parts of elevator was placed by the contractor and the same have been received in dismantle condition and was directly supplied to the site of the contractee. After receipt of the said parts, assembling and installation of elevator was done by the contractor at the site of the Contractee during the execution of Works Contract. Whether Contractor can Import the same and used during execution of Works Contract and claim exemption of Section 5(2) of the CST Act being sales in the course of **Import**?

Q. 42: Whether in the case of Interstate Works Contract Table Method as provided U/R 58(1) of MVAT Act be applicable ?

Q.43: Whether in the case of Interstate Works Contract Composition Scheme be applicable ?

Q.44: Whether WCT TDS to be deducted in the case of Interstate Works Contract ?

Q. 45 :Whether 6(2) transaction is possible in the case of Inter-State Works Contract ?

Q. 46 : Mumbai Dealer takes Ornament personally to **Jaipur for exhibition & during exhibition some Ornaments** have been sold. The Dealer is not Registered in Rajasthan but only Registered in Maharashtra. The Ornaments had taken back personally to Maharashtra after completion of **Exhibition. Whether "F" Form is required while bringing Ornaments from Maharashtra to Jaipur or from Jaipur to** Maharashtra? Whether there will be any liability of payment of tax in Maharashtra or Jaipur?

Q. 47 : The Dealer is an importer of Dental Implants of different sizes. They sell to Doctors in a package which may consist of different sizes of dental implants with different prices. Upon sales dealer raises the Tax Invoice with VAT/CST charged separately and payment are also received. During the course of business in majority of the cases it happens that say the dealer has sold 10 Implants say sizes 'A' to 'J'. But say patient comes to Doctor may be after 6 months or one or two years for implantation and require Say size 'P'. In such a case doctor returns say size 'B' and dealer send size 'P'. The price of 'B' and 'P' need not be the same. Dealer either recovers the differential amount or refund to the doctor upon exchange. Whether such exchange can be treated as replacement and allowed or will it be covered under the provisions of section 63(5) of the MVAT act, 2002 as goods returned and period of six month will hit?

Q. 48 : The Dealer has entered into works contract with say ABC LTD for Construction of Building. It is consolidated Contract. Major portion of the contract is Sub-Contracted. For Civil Work the dealer has given Sub-Contract to say M/s PQR worth Rs.145 Crores . There is a Condition that such work should be completed within 24 months. In the said sub-contract sub-contractor has to raise the bill on the basis of BOQ Rate. The contract is framed in such a way that Total BOQ Value would be raised by sub-contractor throughout the contract would be 140 Crores. The amount of Rs.3 crores will be payable as Milestone Payment as and when total work will be completed say within Two Years or thereafter. But Rs.2 crores will be payable as Performance bonus only if work will be completed within Two years. Whether sub-contractor is liable to pay VAT on Rs.145 crores or Rs.143 crores or on Rs.140 crores?

Q-49 : In F.Y.2013-14 ABC Ltd. has accepted contract with XYZ Ltd. for construction of Factory Building Which Consist of plinth of Civil and whole structure consists of Steel/Aluminium and also the said contract includes to fix the machinery with the help of Nut bolts etc., to provide electric connection to run the machinery and so on. ABC Ltd. has discharged VAT liability under Composition Sum at 5 %. In the said contract all the Machineries will be supplied by the XYZ Ltd. In F.Y. 2013-14 and 2014-15 Assessing Officer has treated the said Factory Building as plant and since the contract consists of work of Fixing Machineries as well as providing electric lines etc. he did not considered the said contract as Construction Contract and levied Composition Sum at 8%. As a VAT Auditor what stand you will take for deciding Composition Sum Rate for F.Y.2015-16?

Q-50 : In F.Y.2013-14 where contract for construction of building of Rs. 1 Crore was given by Principal to the Contractor. IN turn Contract worth Rs. 60 Lacs was given to Sub-contractor. Sub-contractor has discharged the VAT liability towards Rs.60 lacs and furnished Form 407/408 to the Main Contractor. When Main Contractor has raised bill to Principal he has charged total VAT (including Sub-Contractor's VAT) separately in his Sales bills. In the last year's Assessment i.e. F.Y. 2013-14 Assessing Officer has treated the total vat as output vat and also forfeited the vat collected being excess tax collection to the extent on which sub-contractor has discharged the liability. As a VAT Auditor for F.Y.2015-16 what stand you will take ?

Q-51 : There was a contract for supply and installation of Machineries and its parts and components worth Rs.10 Crores. There was also a condition in the contract that till the completion of installation of all the Machineries in case of any defects the parts or Machineries have to be replaced. There is also a condition in the contract that till one year after the installation of machineries Contractor has to provide maintenance service to the contractee and wherever required Parts and components have to be replaced. There are no additional consideration to be paid by the Contractee for the same. However, to replace the parts and components as required the contractor has to purchase the same by paying VAT. Now the question is whether Set-off on the Vat paid on the said replaced parts and Components are available ?

Q. 52 : Dealer is having NIL Sales Turnover and Purchase Turnover of Rs. 300 Crores. He failed to get his books of Account Audited. What would be the quantum of Penalty ?



PRESENTER'S CONTACT DETAILS:

CA MAYUR R. PAREKH